

Serial No. 10/790,968
Attorney Docket No. RSW92004009US1
Response to Office Action mailed 7/10/2007

II. REMARKS.

1. 35 USC 103(a) rejections.

a. The examiner rejected claims 1-34 under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Erb (US 2004/0142703) in view of Seligmann (US 7142664). Applicant has amended the claims to clarify that the telephone is a wireless telephone and that the user configures the policies using an input device that is part of the wireless telephone. Neither Erb nor Seligmann disclose a wireless telephone where the plurality of actions taken by the wireless telephone are configured by a user using an input device of the wireless telephone. Neither Erb nor Seligmann disclose a wireless telephone with a user input device. Seligmann does not disclose a computer readable medium or memory connected to Seligmann's processor. Support for applicant's amendments is found in FIG. 1 (user input device 110, processor 106, and memory 114), [0029] lines 3-5, and [0031] through [0037].

Applicant submits that neither Erb nor Seligmann, individually or in combination disclose a user configurable wireless phone that can be configured (and reconfigured) in regard to actions taken upon receipt of a call through a user input device.

Applicant submits that the claims distinguish over the prior art cited by the examiner and are in a condition for allowance.

Serial No. 10/790,968

Attorney Docket No. RSW92004009US1

Response to Office Action mailed 7/10/2007

Certificate of Transmission

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being
facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark
Office (Fax No. 571-273-8300 on 9-10-07.

Typed or printed name of person signing this
certificate: Cindy Holland

Signature: Cindy Holland

Respectfully submitted,

Rudolf O. Siegesmund

Rudolf O. Siegesmund

Registration No. 37,720

Gordon & Rees LLP

Suite 2800

2100 Ross Avenue

Dallas, Texas 75201

214-231-4703 (direct)

214-460-4053 (fax)

rsiegesmund@gordonrees.com.

Attorney for Applicant